IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC

WEDNESDAY, THE 5TH SEPTEMBER 2007 / 14TH BHADRA 1929

WP(C).No. 25442 of 2007(D)

PETITIONER:

----

1. C.K.RAFEEQUE , S/O.MOHAMMED,
CHALATTILKALLADITHODI HOUSE,
P.O.MELMURI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

2. K.MOHAMMED, S/O.ALAVI, KYNIKKARA HOUSE,
MARIYAD P.O., MALAPPURAM DISTRICT,

3. C.K.ABDUL SAMAD, S/O.AYAMUTTY,
CHALATTILKALLADITHODI HOUSE,
P.O.MELMURI, MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.A.INEES

RESPONDENTS:

----

1. THE SECRETARY, REGIONAL TRANSPORT
AUTHORITY (REGIONAL TRANSPORT OFFICER),
MALAPPURAM.

2. P.MUNEER, S/O.MOHAMMEDKUTTY,
R.KARAPPANCHERY HOUSE, P.O.MONGAM,
MALAPPURAM DISTRICT.

BY ADV. SRI.SAJEEV KUMAR K.GOPAL

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 05/09/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

WPC.NO.25442/2007 D

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1: **COPY OF THE APPLICATION FOR REVISION OF HIS TIMINGS FILED BY THE 2ND RESPONDENT DTD. 5/10/2006.**

EXT.P2: **COPY OF THE ORDER PASSED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT DTD. 3/11/2006 REJECTING EXHIBIT P1 APPLICATION.**

EXT.P3: **COPY OF THE JUDGMENT OF THIS HON'BLE HIGH COURT IN WP(C) NO.2716/2007 DTD. 20/02/2007.**

EXT.P4: **COPY OF THE EXTRACT OF THE NOTICE OF TIMING CONFERENCE DTD. 23/07/2007 FOR REVISION OF TIMINGS OF THE 2ND RESPONDENT'S STAGE CARRIAGE KL-10/S-7466.**

EXT.P5: **COPY OF THE OBJECTION FILED BY THE PETITIONERS DTD. 14/08/2007 WITH ACKNOWLEDGMENT RECEIVED FROM THE OFFICE OF THE 1ST RESPONDENT, DTD. 14/08/07.**

/TRUE COPY/

P.S.TO JUDGE

KSS

ANTONY DOMINIC, J.

= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =
W.P.(C) No. 25442 OF 2007 D
= = = = = = = = = = = = = = = = =

Dated this the 5th September, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Ext. P1 is an application made by the 2nd respondent for revision of timings and that was rejected by Ext. P2 order. Ext. P2 order was challenged in W.P.(C) No. 2716 of 2007 which was disposed of by Ext. P3 judgment quashing the same and directing the 1st respondent herein to consider whether further action could be taken on Ext. P1. The present complaint of the petitioner is that without passing orders as directed in Ext. P3 judgment timing conference has been scheduled to be held tomorrow.

2. I heard the learned Govt. Pleader who entered appearance on behalf of the 1st respondent and the learned counsel appearing on behalf of the 2nd respondent.

3. The learned Govt. Pleader submits that the issue which was the subject-matter of Ext. P3 judgment will be considered in the meeting scheduled to be held tomorrow and orders will be passed as directed. He

submits that this item is included in the agenda of the meeting which is Ext. P4 in this writ petition.

4. Since it is undertaken that Ext. P3 judgment of this Court will be complied with and Ext. P1 application will be considered in the meeting scheduled to be held tomorrow, no further directions are called for. The 1st respondent shall pass appropriate orders in compliance with Ext. P3 judgment as expeditiously as possible. While orders are passed as above, Ext. P5 representation shall also be taken into consideration.

Govt. Pleader shall intimate this to the 1st respondent.

ANTONY DOMINIC
JUDGE

jan/-